

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,283	12/03/2003	Steven H. Voldman	BUR920030119US1	1282
75	90 06/08/2006		EXAMINER	
Andrew M. Calderon			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Reston, VA 20191			2835	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/707,283	VOLDMAN, STEVEN H.
Examiner	Art Unit
Anatoly Vortman	2835

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the core	respondence address
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC	WANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Apthis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidately places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in condition a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	vit, or other evidence, which npliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Me period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing description of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	ate of the final rejection.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ATOTALI ET WASTILLS WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of tunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origina set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the shortened statutory period for reply original may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the fee. The appropriate extension fee lly set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 (a)	void dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  2. M. The prepared emendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, w	ill not be entered because
3. Main The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wherean analysis of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief wherean analysis of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a fil	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduappeal; and/or	cing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject	ted claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Comp	oliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tin non-allowable claim(s).	nely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	e entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See	and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entrequence in the status of the claims after entrequence in the status of the claims after entremediately.	y is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in consideration	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper Note 13. Other:	(s)
	Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner
TU NUL	Art Unit: 2835

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

claims 1 and 26, as amended, present new issues and would require new consideration and/or search.